



Entered on Docket
July 10, 2009

Nikita Glazebrook

**Hon. Mike K. Nakagawa
United States Bankruptcy Judge**

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re) Case No. BK-S-09-15153-MKN
KIRK-HUGHES DEVELOPMENT, LLC,) Chapter 11
Debtor.) Date: July 1, 2009
) Time: 9:30 a.m.
)

**ORDER ON MOTION FOR NUNC PRO TUNC
ORDER APPOINTING ATTORNEY FOR DEBTOR**

Kirk-Hughes Development, LLC (“Debtor”) filed a voluntary Chapter 11 petition on April 6, 2009. It was a “skeleton” petition unaccompanied by schedules of assets and liabilities or a statement of financial affairs. The petition is signed by Geraldine Kirk-Hughes as the managing member. Debtor’s address is shown as 2551 S. Fort Apache Road, Suite 103, Las Vegas, Nevada. The attorney who signed the petition is shown as Judith H. Braecklein of the law firm Kirk-Hughes & Associates, with the same address as the Debtor.

An amended Chapter 11 petition was filed on April 27, 2009. (Dkt# 23) The amended petition is signed by Ms. Kirk-Hughes as well as Ms. Braecklein. On April 28, 2009, Debtor filed its schedules of assets and liabilities (“Schedules”). (Dkt# 25) The Schedules disclose no personal property assets and no co-debtors on any obligations owed by the Debtor. The Schedules also disclose interests in three items of real property. The Schedules are signed by Geraldine Kirk-Hughes as managing member of the Debtor.

On May 11, 2009, in connection with a motion for relief from stay brought by creditor Lantzman Mortgage Fund, LLC, Ms. Kirk-Hughes submitted a declaration attesting that she signed a promissory note in favor of Lantzman both personally and as managing member of the

1 Debtor. (Dkt# 33) This is consistent with the exhibits attached to the declaration.

2 On May 14, 2009, a disclosure of compensation was filed by Judith H. Braecklein of
3 Kirk-Hughes & Associates, certifying that \$4,000 was to be paid by the Debtor for services in
4 connection with the bankruptcy case but that none of that amount had been received. (Dkt# 43)
5 On the same date, a Motion for Nunc Pro Tunc Order Appointing Attorney for Debtor
6 ("Employment Motion") was filed seeking to retain Kirk-Hughes Associates as counsel for the
7 Chapter 11 debtor-in-possession. (Dkt# 46) It is accompanied by the Declaration Under Penalty
8 of Perjury of Judith H. Braecklein for Support of Motion for Unc Pro Tunc Order Appointing
9 Attorney for Debtor ("Braecklein Declaration") in which she attests that neither she nor any
10 persons associated with Kirk-Hughes & Associates "has any adverse connection with the
11 Debtor" and that she believes that the law firm is a disinterested person within the meaning of 11
12 U.S.C. sections 101 and 327. (Dkt# 47)

13 On May 27, 2009, Debtor filed an amended Chapter 11 petition. (Dkt# 61) It is signed
14 by both Geraldine Kirk-Hughes and Judith H. Braecklein. Included with the amended petition is
15 an amended set of Schedules as well as a statement of financial affairs ("SOFA"). The amended
16 schedule of personal property includes a power boat while the amended schedule of real property
17 includes additional interests in real estate. Item 4 of the SOFA discloses three pending lawsuits
18 two of which indicate that Geraldine Kirk-Hughes is a named defendant. Item 9 of the SOFA
19 indicates that the Debtor's filing fees for the bankruptcy proceeding were paid by Kirk-Hughes
20 & Associates. Item 21 of the SOFA discloses that Kirk-Hughes & Associates, Inc. is a current
21 member of the Debtor having a 7 percent membership interest. A separate entity identified as
22 Kirk-Scott, Limited, with the identical address as the Debtor and Kirk-Hughes & Associates,
23 also is shown as being a current member of the Debtor having a 51.5 percent membership
24 interest. An individual identified as Eddie Contreras, with the same address as the Debtor, Kirk-
25 Hughes & Associates, and Kirk-Scott, Limited, also is shown as being a current member of the
26 Debtor having a 0.5 percent membership interest. The SOFA, as well as the Schedules, are
27 signed by Geraldine Kirk-Hughes as managing member of the Debtor.

1 On May 28, 2009, Debtor filed a list of equity security holders that repeats the ownership
 2 information that was set forth in the SOFA. (Dkt# 63) Kirk-Hughes & Associates, Kirk-Scott,
 3 Limited and Eddie Contreras are shown with the same membership interests in the Debtor.

4 Any initial hearing on the Employment Application was held on June 24, 2009, at which
 5 time Ms. Braecklein was directed to file a supplemental declaration that conforms with the
 6 disclosure requirements under Bankruptcy Rule 2014(a). The hearing was continued to July 1,
 7 2009.

8 On June 30, 2009, an amended declaration was filed by Ms. Braecklein that adds two
 9 paragraphs that were not included in the initial Braecklein Declaration. (Dkt# 67) The
 10 additional paragraphs state as follows:

- 11 • **Your Declarant is not an officer, director or employee of the**
 12 **Debtor or of any entity or person associated with the Debtor.** I
 13 have represented the Debtor in the past and believe it is in the best
 14 interest of the Estate to represent the Debtor in this matter as I do
 15 not hold any interest adverse to the Debtor or the Estate.
- 16 • Your Declarant is not a Creditor of the Debtor nor do I represent
 17 anyone who is a Creditor of the Debtor nor do I own any shares of
 18 the Debtor.

19 (Emphasis added.) Amended Declaration Under Penalty of Perjury of Judith H. Braecklein for
 20 Support of Motion for Unc Pro Tunc Order Appointing Attorney for Debtor (“Amended
 21 Braecklein Declaration”) at ¶¶ 5 and 6.

22 On July 1, 2009, the continued hearing on the Employment Motion was concluded and
 23 the matter was taken under submission. On the same date, Debtor filed its first monthly
 24 operating report in the case for the month ending April 30, 2009. (Dkt# 69)

25 To be employed as counsel for a Chapter 11 debtor-in-possession, 11 U.S.C. section
 26 327(a) requires, inter alia, that proposed counsel be a “disinterested person.” 11 U.S.C. section
 27 101(14)(C) provides, that a “disinterested person” means a person that “does not have an interest

1 materially adverse to the interest of the estate or to any class of creditors . . . by reason of any
2 direct or indirect relationship to, connection with, or interest in, the debtor, or for any other
3 reason.”

4 Bankruptcy Rule 2014(a) requires that an application seeking employment of a
5 professional under 11 U.S.C. section 327 must be accompanied by a verified statement of the
6 person to be employed “setting forth the person’s connections with the debtor, creditors, any
7 other party in interest, their respective attorneys and accountants, the United States trustee, or
8 any person employed in the office of the United States trustee.”

9 As outlined above, Geraldine Kirk-Hughes is the managing member of the Debtor, a
10 principal of Kirk-Hughes & Associates, and the latter is a member of the Debtor limited liability
11 company. Ms. Kirk-Hughes also is a co-obligor with the Debtor with respect to the loan in favor
12 of Lantzman Mortgage, but that was never disclosed in the codebtor schedule that was filed in
13 the case. Ms. Kirk-Hughes also is a named defendant, along with the Debtor, in as many as three
14 pending lawsuits. The Kirk-Hughes law firm shares the same address as the Debtor and it is
15 unclear whether the law firm and the Debtor share expenses. The only monthly operating report
16 filed by the Debtor reflects no operating expenses in April 2009 other than salaries, management
17 fees, and receivership fees. The Kirk-Hughes law firm paid the filing fees for the Debtor. It also
18 is unclear whether Ms. Kirk-Hughes has any relationship with Kirk-Scott, Limited, which has
19 the majority membership interest in the Debtor. It is noteworthy that the only declarations in
20 support of the Employment Motion were filed by Ms. Braecklein rather than by Ms. Kirk-
21 Hughes. It also is disturbing to say the least that Ms. Braecklein attests in the Amended
22 Braecklein Declaration that she is not an employee of any entity or person associated with the
23 Debtor when she clearly is employed by one of the Debtor’s members. Based on all of these
24 circumstances, the Kirk-Hughes law firm has not demonstrated that it is a disinterested person
25 within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. section 101(14).

26 A Chapter 11 debtor-in-possession has a fiduciary obligation to all parties in interest, not
27 just its investors. See generally 7 Collier on Bankruptcy, ¶1107.02 (Alan N. Resnick & Henry J.

1 Sommer 15th ed. rev. 2009). A corporate debtor-in-possession cannot put the interests of its
 2 shareholders ahead of its creditors. See Wolf v. Weinstein, 372 U.S. 633, 649-52 (1963).
 3 Counsel for the debtor-in-possession therefore has a crucial role in ensuring that those fiduciary
 4 obligations are met and cannot properly perform that function where a conflict is present. See In
 5 re Sonicblue Inc., 2007 WL 926871 at * 11 (Bankr.N.D.Cal. Mar 26, 2007). This is particularly
 6 true since the attorney-client privilege belongs to the bankrupt entity and may be waived by a
 7 bankruptcy trustee in the event one is appointed. See Commodity Futures Trading Corp. v.
 8 Weintraub, 471 U.S. 343 (1985).

9 Debtor's schedules reflect that it has numerous secured and unsecured creditors to whom
 10 it has fiduciary obligations. Even if conflict waivers would permit otherwise non-disinterested
 11 counsel to be employed under 11 U.S.C. section 327(a), such waivers arguably would have to be
 12 obtained from all parties to whom fiduciary obligations are owed.¹

13 Kirk-Hughes & Associates is not disinterested and it cannot be employed as general
 14 bankruptcy counsel for the Debtor under 11 U.S.C. section 327(a).

15 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Debtor's Motion for Nunc Pro Tunc Order
 16 Appointing Attorney for Debtor be, and the same hereby is, **DENIED**.

18 Copies noticed through ECF to:

19
 20 GERALDINE KIRK-HUGHES gkhughes@kirkhugheslaw.com,
 tarmstrong@kirkhugheslaw.com

21 U.S. TRUSTEE - LV - 11 USTPRRegion17.lv.ecf@usdoj.gov

22 and sent to BNC to:

24
 25 ¹ Even if the Debtor's members were willing to absolutely waive any conflict of interest
 26 created by the concurrent representation of the Debtor as well as Ms. Kirk-Hughes in the
 27 pending litigation, such waivers are ineffective in light of the Debtor's fiduciary obligations to
 28 all creditors of the bankruptcy estate. See In re S.S. Retail Stores Corp., 211 B.R. 699, 703
 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1997).

1 JUDITH BRAECKLEIN
2 2551 S FORT APACHE RD, STE 103
3 LAS VEGAS, NV 89117

4 KIRK-HUGHES DEVELOPMENT, LLC
5 2551 S. FORT APACHE RD, STE 103
6 LAS VEGAS, NV 89117

7 All parties on BNC mailing list

8
9 # # #
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28